Best Practices in Providing Oversight for Economic Development

Jonathan Q. Morgan, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

Joint Legislative Economic Development and Global Engagement Oversight Committee

November 3, 2011



UNC-C3E Incentives Study (2009)

- Institute a legislative oversight function
- Establish priorities
- Assess performance of state and regional economic development organizations
- Strengthen accountability



The Challenge

- Multiple entities receive state funds
- Commerce Dept.
- Statewide nonprofits with various specialties (rural, minority, technology)
- Regional partnerships
- Incentives



What is Needed Now?

- Greater coordination and alignment
- Better performance monitoring and measures
- More information about what programs are working and why (policy learning)
- Ways to maximize the return on the public investment



Current Legislative Oversight

- Agency reporting requirements
- Sunset and review provisions
- Fiscal Research inquiries to support budget requests

Why Coordinate and Align?

- Create greater synergies
- Eliminate duplication and redundancies
- Clarify roles, responsibilities, and expectations
- Enhance effectiveness
- Promote state policy goals



Setting Priorities for ED

- Competitiveness in a global, knowledge economy
 - Business and industry
 - Entrepreneurs
 - Skilled workers and talent
 - Innovation and technology
 - High quality communities

Who Sets ED Priorities in NC?

- Governor
- NC Economic Development Board
- NC Commerce Dept.
- General Assembly

Effective Performance Monitoring

- Systematic and rigorous
- Ongoing and consistent
- Data-driven
- Objective and nonpartisan
- Quantitative and qualitative



Sample Performance Measures for Economic Development

Inputs

Activities

Outputs

Outcomes

- Money
- Staff
- Facilities
- Supplies
- Equipment

- Business assistance
- Tourism marketing
- Trade missions

- No. of firms served
- No. of inquiries
- No. of countries visited

- Job growth
- Wage growth
- Capital investment
- Income growth

State-of-the-Art Performance Measures for Economic Dev.

- Job quality
- Innovation activity
- Wealth creation
- Entrepreneurial activity
- Human capital (skills and talent)

ED Policy Learning

- Encourage policy innovation
 - New ways of thinking and doing
- Better understand what works
- Disseminate info. about best practices
- Make program improvements as needed

Accountability

- Protect the public interest
- Promote efficiency and effectiveness
- Make wise public investments
- Increase the economic "bang" for the public "buck"

Accountability Mechanisms

- Incentive disclosure and reporting
- Tax expenditure budgets
- Strong and enforceable clawback provisions
- Economic and fiscal impact analyses
- Program reviews and evaluations

Approaches to Oversight

- Legislative reviews and audits
- Indexes, scorecards, and benchmarking
- External third-party evaluations



Examples to Consider

- Montana Legislative Audit Division
- Maine Economic Growth Council
- Oregon Innovation Council
- Mississippi Technology Alliance

Possible Steps Forward

- Integrate strategic planning, performance monitoring, and evaluation
- Empower and equip the Economic Dev. Board to do systematic performance assessment
- Create or fund an external entity to monitor ED progress

Questions or Comments:

Jonathan Morgan **UNC School of Government** CB# 3330, Knapp-Sanders Bldg. Chapel Hill, NC 27599 919-843-0972 morgan@sog.unc.edu

